



Docket No.: 252069US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/826,391

Applicants: Hideki TAKAHASHI, et al.

Filing Date: April 19, 2004

For: INSULATED GATE BIPOLAR TRANSISTOR WITH
BUILT-IN FREEWHEELING DIODE

Group Art Unit: 2815

Examiner: LANDAU, M. C.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESTRICTION/ELECTION RESPONSE

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)

(703) 413-2220 (fax)



DOCKET NO: 252069US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
HIDEKI TAKAHASHI, ET AL. : EXAMINER: LANDAU, M. C.
SERIAL NO: 10/826,391 :
FILED: APRIL 19, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2815
FOR: INSULATED GATE BIPOLAR :
TRANSISTOR WITH BUILT-IN
FREEWHEELING DIODE

RESTRICTION /ELECTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement in the Official Action mailed June 6, 2005, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-6 and 11 drawn to a bipolar transistor and directed to Species I depicted in Fig. 2 and 14 for further examination on the merits in the present application.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:


If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, an action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

EHK:ZSS:dnf

I:\ATTY\ZS\25'S\252\252069US\252069 REST RESP.DOC